

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/824,136	CHARLE BOLTA	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Son M. Tang	2612	

**All Participants:**

(1) Son M. Tang.

(2) Emery L. Tracy (Attorney).

**Status of Application:** Allowance

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 June 2006

**Time:** 11:00AM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
1 and 14

Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant authorized the attached Examiner Amendment to place application in condition for allowance. See attached Examiner Amendment for detail.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)